

|   |                        |                     |  |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|   | 09/538,880             | WANG, MEIFEN        |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|   | D. I. Lee              | 2876                |  |

**All Participants:**
**Status of Applicant: \_\_\_\_\_**

 (1) D. I. Lee.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Mr. Kenneth Lao.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 11 May 2004
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

10

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*See Continuation Sheet*
**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In reviewing the application, the examiner discovered the error in the previous Office Action mailed on 12/8/03. In that Office Action, the examiner incorrectly include claim 10 in the allowed claims. The examiner contacted Mr. Lao and informed the error and explained that previously indicated allowed subject matter is from claims 5-6 and not from claim 10. Accordingly, Mr. Lao authorized the Examiner's Amendment to cancel claim 10..